## ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## AGENCY: California Department of Fish and Game

PROJECT (CONTRACT) NO: 1-FG-20-09821 (FG0414)

## PROJECT TITLE: Trinity River Basin Salmon and Steelhead Monitoring Project

PERIOD COVERED: July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997
INTRODUCTION: This is the ninth in a series of annual reports detailing various monitoring activities (Tasks) conducted by the Department of Fish and Game in the Trinity River basin. This report fulfills requirements set forth under the terms of Cooperative Agreement Number 1-FG-20-09820 between the Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR).

Specific Tasks nere designed to complement restoration activities authorized by Public Law 98541 (Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Restoration Act) enacted by Congress in 1984. This law authorized expenditures through Federal fiscal year (FY)1995. Results of Department studies through this authorization are listed in the table below and are available upon request from: California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, 1416 9th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

| Task title | Inclusive years |
| :--- | :--- |
| I. Spawner Surveys in the Upper Trinity River Basin | $1988-1995$ |
| II. Capture and Coded-Wire Tagging of Naturally Produced Chinook <br> Salmon in The Trinity River Basin | $1988-1994$ |
| III. Life History, Distribution, Run Size and Angler Harvest of Steelhead in <br> the South Fork Trinity River Basin | $1988-1994$ |
| IV. Annual Run-Size, Harvest, and Spawner Escapement Estimates for <br> Trinity River Basin Chinook and Coho Salmon and Steelhead | $1989-1995$ |
| V. Survival and Contribution of the Fisheries and Spawner Escapements <br> Made by Chinook and Coho Salmon Produced at Trinity River Hatchery. | $1989-1995$ |
| VI. Survival and Contributions to the Fisheries and Spawner Escapements <br> Made by Steelhead Produced at Trinity River Hatchery. | $1990-1994$ |
| VII. Life History, Distribution, Run Size, and Harvest of Spring Chinook <br> Salmon in the South Fork Trinity River Basin. | $1990-1994$ |
| VIII. Special Project: Technical Analysis and Report Preparation | $1991-1993$ |

In 1996, with the expiration of P.L. 98-541, Congress passed P.L. 104-143, authorizing expenditures for and additional three years, ending September 30 1998. This report is the second annual report under the new law. Copies of annual reports beginning with FY 1996 are available on request from: California Department of Fish and Game, Region 1, Trinity River Project, 5341 Ericson Way, Arcata, California 95521.

## TASK REPORTS:

## TASK 1: AnnualRun-size, Harvest and Spawner Escapement Estimates for Trinity River Basin

 Chinook and Coho Salmon and Steelhead
## Task Objectives:

1. To determine the size, composition, distribution and timing of adult chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead runs in the Trinity River basin.

2 To determine the angler harvest and spawner escapements of Trinity River chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead.

Procedures:
From 6/12/96 through 09/27/96, returning spring-run chinook salmon (spring chinook) were captured and tagged at a temporary weir in the Trinity River near the town of Junction City, California. A second weir, near the town of Willow Creek California, was operated from 08/14/96 through $11 / 15 / 96$ to capture fall-run chinook salmon (fall chinook), coho salmon (coho) and steelhead. The trapping and tagging methods at the two weirs were the same except that only chinook were tagged at Junction City Weir (JCW), while at WCW chinook, coho and steelhead were tagged.

At both weirs, all salmon and steelhead captured were identified to species, measured to the nearest cm fork length (FL), examined for hook and gill-net scars and hatchery marks. Chinook captured at JCW and all salmon and steelhead captured at WCW, except those judged to be in poor condition, were tagged with FT-4 spaghetti tags (Project tags). To determine the number of effectively tagged fish, we subtracted from the tagged population all known tagging mortalities and fish from which anglers reported removing the tags and releasing the fish.

Project tags were inscribed with a unique number identifying the individual fish and a return address so anglers could mail the tags to us for processing. Approximately onehalf of the chinook salmon and all of the coho and steelhead were tagged with \$ 10 reward tags while the remainder received non reward tags.

We estimated the harvest rate for each species by dividing the number of reward tags returned, by the number of fish effectively reward tagged. Total harvest was then determined by multiplying the harvest rate for each species by their respective run-size estimates.

The length data collected at the weirs and Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) were smoothed with a moving average of five, $1-\mathrm{cm}$ increments to determine the nadir separating grilse (two-year old) and adult (three-year and older) salmon in the runs. All steelhead $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ .FL were consider adults, and steelhead $\leq 41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were consider half-pounders.

All salmon and steelhead entering TRH were counted, measured and examined for Project tags and hatchery marks. Run-size estimates, upstream of the weirs, were based on the recovery of Project-tagged and untagged fish entering TRH. In essence, the recovery of tagged and untagged fish at the hatchery gave us the trapping efficiency rate at the weir, which was then applied to the number of fish tagged at the weir. For example, if $10 \%$ of the fall chinook entering TRH were Project tagged, this would imply that $10 \%$ of the fall chinook run migrating upstream of weir was trapped and tagged at the weir. Run-sizes, upstream of the weir, were estimated with the formula:
$\boldsymbol{N}=((\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{1})(\boldsymbol{C}+\mathbf{1}) / \boldsymbol{R}+\mathbf{1})$ where N is the estimated run size, M is the number of effectively tagged fish, C is the number of fish examined for tags and $\boldsymbol{R}$ is the number of Projectmarked fish recovered in the hatchery sample. This year, all spring chinook estimates are for fish migrating upstream of the Junction City Weir while all fall chinook, coho and steelhead estimates are for fish migrating upstream of the Willow Creek Weir.

The accuracy of the run-size estimate is dependent not so much on the total number of fish tagged but on the total percentage of the population which is tagged. Clearly, the greater the percentage of the population tagged, the more accurate the estimate. We determine the accuracy of the estimate by applying statistical procedures which bound the estimate within confidence limits. We operated the weir in an attempt to capture enough fish to obtain $95 \%$ confidence limits within $\pm 10 \%$ of the run-size estimates. In other words, we want be $95 \%$ sure that our estimate is within $10 \%$ of the actual run size. To achieve that level of accuracy, we attempt to capture and tag between $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ of the population.

The Trinity River supports both spring- and fall-chinook runs. Prior to the construction of Trinity and Lewiston dams these runs were separated both temporally in their run timing and spatially in their spawning location. However, now the runs overlap both in run timing and spawning location. The seasonal trend in run timing is that during the transition between runs, spring chinook numbers decrease while fall chinook increase.

In order to make independent estimates for the two runs, a means to differentiate the two runs at the weir and hatchery was needed. Coded-wire tag (CWT) analysis was used for this determination. Each year a portion of spring and fall chinook produced at TRH are
given adipose-fin clips and CWTs. These CWTs carry a binary code which identifies the origin of the fish carrying it. When the catch of fall CWTed chinook exceeds spring CWTed chinook at the weir that date is chosen as the start of the fall run. All chinook trapped after that date were considered fall chinook while those trapped prior were considered spring chinook.

## Results:

Analysis of coded-wire tagged chinook captured at the weirs and returning to Trinity River Hatchery indicates that all chinook captured at JCW and chinook trapped through 09/09/96 at WCW were spring chinook. Chinook trapped after 09/09/96 at WCW were considered fall chinook. Length frequency analysis indicated that spring grilse were $\leq 47 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL, fall grilse were $\leq 51 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL and coho grilse were $\leq 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL.

## Junction Citv Weir

We installed and began fishing at JCW on 06/12/96 and continued through 09/27/96, fishing a total of 68 nights. During this period we caught 2,106 chinook (all spring) and 70 steelhead.

Based on length frequency analysis, the spring chinook trapped at JCW were composed of 44 grilse and 2,062 adults and the steelhead were composed of 6 half-pounders and 64 adults. Of these, we effectively tagged 41 grilse and 1,987 adult chinook; no steelhead were tagged.

## Willow Creek Weir

WCW was installed and began fishing 08/14/96 and continued through $11 / 15 / 96$. This weir had been scheduled to fish through November but high flows, due to a storm event, forced its removal. WCW was fished a total of 68 nights and caught 1,059 spring and 1,569 fall chinook, 491 coho and 1,562 steelhead.

Length frequency analysis indicates our catch at WCW this season was composed of 82 grilse and 977 adult spring chinook, 143 grilse and 1,426 adult fall chinook, 17 grilse and 474 adult coho and 5 half-pounder and 1,557 adult steelhead. We effectively tagged 1,486 fall chinook, 474 coho and 1,450 steelhead. At WCW, tagged spring chinook are not used to generate estimates as we capture only a small portion of that run.

## Trinitv RiverHatchery

Totals of 5,250 spring and 6,660 fall chinook, 9,955 coho and 4,012 adult steelhead entered Trinity River Hatchery this season. Project tags were recovered from 454 (8.6\% of the total) spring chinook, 177 (2.7\%) fall chinook, 128 (1.3\%) coho and 557 (13.9\%) steelhead.

## Run-Size Estimates

This year's spring chinook run-size was estimated to be 23,4 16 fish composed of 489 grilse and 22,927 adults. Of these, anglers harvested an estimated 1,5 13 adults (no grilse were reported harvested), leaving 489 grilse and 21,414 adults available to spawn. This spawner escapement was composed of natural spawners ( 370 grilse and 16,283 adults) and TRH spawners (119 grilse and 5131 adults). Previous spring chinook run-size estimates have ranged from 2,381 (in 1991) to 62,692 (in 1988) (Appendix 1).

We estimate the fall chinook run size at 55,646 fish composed of 5,072 grilse and 50,574 adults. Anglers harvested an estimated 345 grilse and 1,517 adults, leaving 4,727 grilse and 49,057 adults available to spawn. This spawner escapement was composed of natural spawners (4,478 grilse and 42,646 adults) and TRH spawners ( 249 grilse and 6,411 adults). Since 1977, fall chinook run-size estimates have ranged from 9,207 (in 1991) to 147,888 (in 1986) (Appendix 2).

Coho run size was estimated at 36,660 fish composed of 1,269 grilse and 35,391 adults. Anglers harvested 248 (all adults) leaving 36,412 available to spawn. The spawner escapement was split between natural spawners ( 1,149 grilse and 26,457 adults) and TRH spawners ( 120 grilse and 9,835 adults). Coho run size upstream of WCW has ranged from 852 in 1994 to 59,079 in 1987 (Appendix 3).

All steelhead released from TRH since the 1989 brood year (BY) have been fin-clipped. Recovery of these fin-clipped fish as adults at the weirs and TRH allow us to make independent run-size, spawner-escapement and angler-harvest estimates for hatchery- and naturally produced steelhead in the basin. The steelhead marking program at TRH was discontinued with the 1994 BY. This year will be the last we will be able distinguish between hatchery- and naturally produced adult steelhead in the Trinity River basin.

Steelhead run size was estimated at 10,435 adults composed of 1,837 wild and 8,598 hatchery-produced fish. Anglers harvested 86 and 507 wild and hatchery-produced steelhead, respectively. Spawner escapement was estimated at 1,703 wild and 4,127 hatchery-produced fish spawning naturally and 86 wild and 3,964 hatchery-produced fish spawning in the hatchery. Steelhead run size upstream of WCW has ranged from 3,046 in 1992 to 37,276 in 1989 (Appendix 4).
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a/ The 1978 sport harvest of spring-run chinook was limited by a salmon fishing closure begining 25 August 1978.
W The sport harvest of adult spring-run chinook was limited by fishing closures to the taking chinook salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length during these years.
The closures took effect 22 September in 1985, 5 November 1992, 9 October 1993, 3 October 1994 and 9 September 1996.


a/ The 1978 sport harvest of tall-run chinook was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning 25 August 1978.
W The sport harvest of adult fall-run chinook was limited by fishing closures to the taking chinook salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length during these years.
The closures took effect 22 September 1985, 5 November 1992, 9 October 1993, 3 October 1994 and 1 October through 28 October 1995 and 1996.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse |  | Adults |  |  | Natural |  |  | Trinity River Hatcher |  |  | Grilse | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total | Grilse | Adults. | Total |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Numb | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 3,106 | 80.5\% | 752 | 19.5\% | 3,858 | 1,756 | 25 | 1,781 | 1,230 | 698 | 1,928 | 120 | 29 | 149 |
| 1978 | 6,685 | 73.2\% | 2,447 | 26.8\% | 9,132 | 4,309 | 1,168 | 5,477 | 2,376 | 1,279 | 3,655 | Fishing | closure a/ | 0 |
| 1979 | 9,067 | 78.0\% | 2,557 | 22.0\% | 11,624 | 5,567 | 1,695 | 7,262 | 2,793 | 742 | 3,535 | 707 | 120 | 827 |
| 1980 | 2,499 | $41.0 \%$ | 3,595 | 59.0\% | 6,094 | 954 | 1,817 | 2,771 | 1,545 | 1,778 | 3,323 |  |  | 0 |
| 1981 | 6,144 | 56.0\% | 4,826 | 44.0\% | 10,970 | 3,486 | 1,995 | 5,481 | 1,994 | 2,529 | 4,523 | 664 | 302 | 966 |
| 1982 | 2,021 | 17.5\% | 9,508 | 82.5\% | 11,529 | 1,158 | 5,097 | 6,255 | 823 | 3,975 | 4,798 | 40 | 436 | 476 |
| 1983 | 536 | 27.2\% | 1.435 | 72.8\% | 1,971 | 295 | 788 | 1,083 | 192 | 514 | 706 | 49 | 133 | 182 |
| 1984 | 15,208 | 77.2\% | 4,486 | 22.8\% | 19,694 | 6,188 | 2,971 | 9,159 | 7,727 | 1,134 | 8,861 | 1,293 | 381 | 1,674 |
| 1985 | 9,216 | 23.7\% | 29,717 | 76.3\% | 38,933 | 4,798 | 21,586 | 26,384 | 4,237 | 7,549 | 11,786 | 181 | 582 b/ | 763 |
| 1986 | 18,909 | 67.6\% | 9,063 | 32.4\% | 27,972 | 13,034 | 6,247 | 19,281 | 5,402 | 2,589 | 7,991 | 473 | 227 | 706 |
| 1987 | 7,253 | 12.3\% | 51,826 | 87.7\% | 59,079 | 3,975 | 28,398 | 32,373 | 2,865 | 20,473 | 23,338 | 413 | 2,955 | 3,368 |
| 1988 | 2,731 | 7.0\% | 36.173 | 93.0\% | 38,904 | 1,850 | 22,277 | 24,127 | 743 | 12,073 | 12,816 | 138 | 1,823 | 1,961 |
| 1989 | 290 | 1.5\% | 18,462 | 98.5\% | 18,752 | 208 | 13,274 | 13,482 | 77 | 4,893 | 4,970 | 5 | 295 | 300 |
| 1990 | 412 | 10.6\% | 3,485 | 89.4\% | 3,897 | 234 | 1,981 | 2,215 | 173 | t. 462 | 1,635 | 5 | 42 | 47 |
| 1991 | 265 | 2.9\% | 8,859 | 97.1\% | 9,124 | 164 | 6,163 | 6,327 | 98 | 2,590 | 2688 | 3 | 106 | 109 |
| 1992 | 2,378 | 23.0\% | 7,961 | 77.0\% | 10,339 | 1,168 | 5,565 | 6,733 | 1,210 | 2,372 | 3,582 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| $1993$ | 573 | 10.2\% | 5,048 | 89.8\% | 5,621 | 416 | 3,024 | 3,440 | 93 | 2,024 | 2,117 | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| 1994 | 613 | 71.9\% | 5,239 | 28.1\% | 852 | 453 | 105 | 558 | 160 | 134 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 634 | 3.9\% | 15,477 | 96.1\% | 16,111 | 370 | 10,680 | 11,050 | 264 | 4.503 | 4,767 | 0 | 294 | 294 |
| 1996 | 1,269 | 3.5\% | 35,391 | 96.5\% | 36,660 | 1,149 | 25,308 | 26,457 | 120 | 9,835 | 9,955 | 0 | 248 | 248 |


a/ The 1978 sport harvest of coho was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning 25 August 1978 .
b/ The 1985 sport harvest of adult coho was limited by a closure for the taking salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length beginning 22 September 1985.

b/ Trinity River Hatchery-produced steethead.
c/ Naturallyproduced steethead.
d/ The natural spawner escapement reflects an overestimate due to the unknown number of fish harvested by anglers upstream of Willow Creek Weir.

TASK 2: Survival_and_Contributions to the Fisheries_and_Spawner Escapement Made by Chinook and Cohe Salmon Produced at Trinity Biver Hatchery

## Iask Objectives:

To determine relative return rates and the contribution to spawning escapement and the fisheries made by chinook and coho salmon produced at Trinity River Hatchery, and to evaluate experimental hatchery management practices aimed at increasing adult returns.

## Background:

To achieve Task 2 objective, representative samples from Trinity River Hatchery's (TRH) annual salmon production must be adipose-fin clipped (ad-clipped) and codedwire tagged (CWT) for subsequent identification as adults. Prior to 1995, the Department was responsible for the coded-wire tagging program at TRH and the results were published as noted in the Introduction. Beginning in 1995, the Department turned over the coded-wire tagging program at TRH to the Hoopa Valley Fisheries Department. Due to the change in responsibilities, the Department will no longer report on the juvenile tagging effort at TRH. Our efforts are directed at the recovery of these codedwire tagged fish as adults and analyzing the information derived from recovery

## Procedures:

We examined all adult salmon entering TRH for fin-clips and Project tags (also part of Tasks 1 and 3). The heads from ad-clipped salmon were retained for later coded-wire tag removal and decoding.

The information needed to estimate the numbers of salmon of a specific CWT group that returned to the Trinity River basin, and contributed to the fisheries and spawner escapement are; 1) run size, 2) the proportion of the run comprised by the various CWT groups, and 3) the harvest rate. Methods to determine the run-size and angler-harvest estimates were presented in Task 1.

To estimate the numbers of the salmon above a specific weir site with a CWT, we used the equation:

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cut}}=$ estimated number of the specific species of salmon above the weir with a CWT; $\mathrm{NW}_{\text {ADelip }}=$ number of salmon observed at the weir with an Ad clip; NW = total number of salmon observed at the respective weir; $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {ADrwt }}=$ number of salmon observed
at TRH with an ad clip and a CWT; $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {soatr }}=$ total number of Ad-clipped salmon observed at TRH; and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {run-size }}$ estimate $=$ run-size estimate.

Using the various CWT groups recovered at TRH, we estimated the fraction of the population upstream of the weir with a specific CWT with the equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\text {CuT pruur }}=\frac{\mathrm{NH}_{\text {CuT poup }}}{\mathrm{NH}_{\text {ADCWT }}}
$$

where, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cutgovp }}=$ fraction of the salmon population with a specific CWT code; and $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {cut }}$ $\boldsymbol{g}_{\text {gup }}=$ number of salmon observed at TRH with a specific CWT code.

We estimated the total number of chinook salmon upstream of the weir with a specific CWT code with the equation:

$$
\mathbf{N}_{\text {CWT gap }}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {cuT }} \times \mathrm{F}_{\text {CwT grove }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cut} \text { goup }}=$ estimated total number of salmon of a specific CWT group.
The estimated number of fish from each CWT group caught in the Trinity River sport fishery upstream of the weir was then estimated by the equation:

$$
S F_{\text {CuT poup }}=N_{\text {CuT poup }} X \quad N_{\text {harvest rate estimate }}
$$

where, SF,,, = number of salmon of a specific CWT group caught in the Trinity River sport fishery; and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {hancat rate asimate }}=$ harvest rate estimate.

We estimated the total number of fish of a specific CWT code group available to the spawner escapement by the equation:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\text {CuT expemement }}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {CuT poop }}-\mathrm{SF}_{\text {CuT poup }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cut mapammt }}=$ the total number of salmon of a specific CWT group available to the spawner escapement.

The estimated number of salmon of specific CWT code group available to natural

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { nenurl mapammt }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT}} \underset{\text { wapmemt }}{ }-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { troup }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cwt nanna mopmant }}=$ the estimated number of a specific CWT group contributing to natural spawning escapement.

As stated above, estimating the total return of individual CWT groups depends on a basin-wide run-size estimate. In 1995, due to funding uncertainties. we were unable to estimate the spring chinook run-size in the Trinity River basin (see last year's annual report).

In evaluating the return of CWTed hatchery chinook, we normally report on the individual year's return along with a summary of each CWT group throughout their fiveyear life cycle. Missing the 1995 spring chinook run size has the unfortunate result of making it impossible to summarize the total return of any CWT group returning the river in 1995.

All estimates for spring and fall chinook are for the Trinity River upstream of the Junction City Weir (JCW) (river km [RKM] 137.1) and the Willow Creek Weir (WCW) (RKM 48.4) respectively.

## Results

We recovered 1,637 ad-clipped chinook at TRH this season. These included TRHproduced chinook ( 856 spring and 768 fall) and 13 naturally produced chinook. The returning spring chinook CWTed fish were composed of eight release groups from the 1992 through 1994 Brood years (BY)s (Appendix 1). The fall chinook CWTs were from ten groups representing the 1992 through 1994 BYs (Appendix 1). The naturally produced chinook were composed of nine CWT groups from the 1992 and 1993 BYs.
(Appendix 1).
Return rates for spring chinook TRH CWT groups, based on the number released and their estimated run size as adults, ranged from $0.749 \%$, for 1992 BY yearling release, to $0.013 \%$ for the 1994 BY yearling release (Appendix 2).

Return rates for fall chinook TRH CWT groups ranged from 0.029\% (1993 BY fingerlings) to $1.662 \%$ (1992 BY yearlings) (Appendix 3). The relatively high return rate as four-year olds for the 1992 BY is a continuation of this BY's success noted last year when $3.263 \%$ returned as three-year olds.

Both spring and fall chinook release groups from the 1992 BY had exceptionally high return rates this year. For fall chinook returning as four-year-olds, the return rates (since 1977 and excluding yearling-plus releases) have ranged from essentially zero to this year's high of $1.662 \%$. The next highest on record was $0.733 \%$ from the 1983 BY yearlings.

Prepared by: Mark Zuspan, California Department of Fish and Game, September 17, 1997

Appendix 1. Release and recovery data for adipose fin-clipped chinook recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 1996-97 season. $\qquad$ - - - - .-... -...............

Release data

| Release data |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recovery data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CVVT a/ } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Egg Brood <br> source year |  | Date | Number | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Size } \\ \text { (No./kg ) } \end{gathered}$ | Site | Males |  | Females |  | b/ Total N |
|  |  |  | No. |  |  |  | FL | No. | FL |  |
| Spring-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0601040106 | TRH | 1992 |  | 06/15/93 | 215,038 | 97.9 | TRH | 50 | 77.5 | 123 | 71.2 | 173 |
| 065734 | TRH | 1992 | 10/l -7/93 | 53,675 | 25.1 | TRH | 60 | 70.5 | 70 | 68.3 | 130 |
| 065735 | TRH | 1992 | 10/1-7/93 | 56,281 | 25.1 | TRH | 51 | 71.1 | 62 | 67.7 | 113 |
| 0601040107 | TRH | 1993 | 06/01-10/94 | 222,056 | 116.8 | TRH | 162 | 67.2 | 114 | 64 | 276 |
| 065708 | TRH | 1993 | 10/3-1 4/94 | 53,738 | 23.6 | TRH | 32 | 61.3 | 11 | 60.7 | 43 |
| 065709 | TRH | 1993 | 10/3-4/94 | 57,787 | 23.6 | TRH | 37 | 60.1 | 18 | 59.1 | 55 |
| 065220 | TRH | 1994 | 06/6-9/95 | 113,236 | 139.0 | TRH | 5 | 40.2 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 065221 | TRH | 1994 | 10/2-1 3/95 | 113,124 | 28.0 | TRH | 9 | 39.3 | 0 |  | 9 |
| $100000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 70.5 | 28 | 68.5 | 52. |
|  |  |  |  | Spring-run chinook salmon totals: 430 |  |  |  |  | 426 |  | 856 |


| Fall-Run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 065733 | TRH | 1992 | 06/I 6/93 | 192, 032 | 145. 9 | TRH | 37 | 75.7 | 50 | 73.1 | 87 |
| 065748 | TRH | 1992 | 10/1-7/93 | 54,586 | 33.7 | TRH | 111 | 76.5 | 150 | 72.9 | 261 |
| 065749 | TRH | 1992 | 10/1-7/93 | 54,308 | 33.7 | TRH | 85 | 76.8 | 166 | 72.2 | 251 |
| 065704 | TRH | 1993 | 6/10-15/94 | 201,032 | 191.8 | TRH | 14 | 61.9 | 3 | 58 | 17 |
| 065705 | TRH | 1993 | 10/3-14/94 | 55,039 | 27.6 | TRH | 38 | 59.2 | 19 | 61 | 57 |
| 065706 | TRH | 1993 | 10/3-14/94 | 55,297 | 27.6 | TRH | 26 | 58.8 | 8 | 58.9 | 34 |
| 0601040108 | TRH | 1994 | 06/01-09/95 | 107,935 | 353.0 | TRH | 6 | 43.7 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 065021 | TRH | 1994 | 06/01-09/95 | 54,723 | 271.0 | TRH | 3 | 44 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 065022 | TRH | 1994 | 06/01-09/95 | 53,905 | 271.0 | TRH | 5 | 44 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 065222 | TRH | 1994 | 10/3-13/95 | 113,124 | 39.7 | TRH | 11 | 41.8 | 0 |  | 11 |
| $100000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{e} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 69.9 | 22 | 73.6 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | Fall-run chinook salmon totals: |  |  | 350 |  | 418 |  | 768 |


| Naturally produced chinook salmon |  |  |  |  | Size (mm fl) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0601080403 | Wild | 1992 | 05/11-14/93 | 7,781 | 54.1 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 0601080404 | Wild | 1992 | 05/14-1 6/93 | 7,495 | 56.6 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 0601080405 | Wild | 1992 | 05/I 6-1 8/93 | 6,568 | 56.6 | Sheridan | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 0601080212 | Wild | 1993 | 02/I-3/8/94 | 9,177 | 53.9 | Sheridan | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 0601080213 | Wild | 1993 | 03/I 0-1 5/94 | 8,648 | 53.9 | Sheridan | 2 | 66.5 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 0601080214 | Wild | 1993 | 03/16-21/94 | 7,125 | 41.6 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 0601080312 | Wild | 1993 | 04/6-7/94 | 10,856 | 37.1 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 0601080313 | Wild | 1993 | 04/8-9/94 | 11,699 | 40.1 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 3 | 64.7 | 4 |
| 0601080503 | Wild | 1993 | 04/9-12/94 | 10,115 | 53.9 | Sheridan | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| Naturally produced chinook salmon totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 13 |

a CWT = Coded-wire tag.
b/ FL = Average fork length in cm.
cl $100000=$ No CWT found or it was lost during recovery.
d/ Assumed to be spring-run chinook from their entry dates into Trinity River Hatchery.
e/ Assumed to be fall-run chinook from their entry dates into Trinity River Hatchery.

Appendix 2. Run-size, percent return. in-river sport catch and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery. produced. coded-wire-tagged spring-run chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Junction City Weir during the period 1992 through 1996.

al CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook salmon released during May or June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Run-size estimates were not produced in 1995
e/ Totals are presented only for brood year 1991. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle. Totals do not include age four returns as no estimates were made that year.
$\boldsymbol{\ell}$ The term 'adults" means chinook aged three- through five-years-olds. Totals do not include age four fish.

Appendix 3. Run-size, percent return, in-river sport catch, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatcheryproduced, coded-wire-tagged fall-run chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir during the period 1992 through 1996.


| 065704 | 1993 | 6/10-15/94 | 201. 032 | TRH | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.010 \\ & 0.029 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | 20 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 065705 | 1993 | 10/03-14/94 | 55, 039 | TRH | 2 | 37 | 0.667 | 2 | 9 | 26 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 198 | 0.360 | 6 | 57 | 135 | 192 |



| 065021 | 1994 | 4 06/01-09/95 | 54.723 | TRH | 2 | 10 | 0. 018 |  | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0650221 | 19940 | 06101-09/95 | 53.905 | TRH | 2 | 17 | 0.032 | $\because$ | 1 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| 065222 | 21994 | 10ß-13/95 | 113,124 | TRH | 2 | 38 | 0.034 |  | 3 | 11 | 24 | 35 |

a/CWT = coded-wire tag.
/Chinook salmon released during May or June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
d TRH $=$ Trinity Riir Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 1991. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" means chinook aged three- through five-years-olds.

TASK 3: Naturally_and_Artificially Produced_CohoEvaluations in the Trinity_River Basin

## Task Objectives:

To determine, through mass marking of TRH-produced coho, the relative return rates and contributions to spawning escapement and the fisheries made by naturally and hatcheryproduced coho salmon in the Trinity River basin.

## Procedures:

Procedures for this task involves two phases: marking all TN-I-produced coho; and recovering adult coho returning to the basin. The procedures for the marking phase will be covered in this section while the adult recovery phase will be covered under Tasks 1 and 2.

Marking coho involved anaesthetizing them with MS-222, removing their right maxillary, and releasing them into a hatchery pond. To keep count of fish marked, each marking station was equipped with a manual counter to tally each fish as it was marked.

To determine overall marking accuracy, we examined a sample of the marked coho just prior to their release into the river. These fish were anaesthetized with carbon dioxide, measured to the nearest mm fork length (FL), and checked for quality of the maxillary clip. If more than $3 / 4$ of the bone was excised it was considered a good clip; less than that was considered a poor clip. We estimated the total number of coho effectively marked by multiplying the percent of fish with good clips by the total marked.

## Results:

Staff personnel marked (right maxillary clip) 618,355 juvenile coho, representing the entire 1995 brood year at Trinity River Hatchery. We began clipping September 10, 1996 and finished on January 16, 1997. According to hatchery estimates, 35,432 of the marked coho died at the hatchery due to water turbidity leaving 582,923 for final release.

On March 13, 1997, just prior to their release from the hatchery, we performed quality control evaluations (QC) on the marked coho. During QC, 2,000 coho were measured (mm-fork length) and examined for marking accuracy.

Fork lengths of the measured coho ranged from 101 to 253 , averaging 145.8 mm . The table below summarizes release estimates based on our observed marking accuracy.

| Stratum 1/ | Percent in sample 2/ | Estimated number released 3/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No clip | $0.05 \%$ | 291 |
| Incomplete RM | $0.10 \%$ | 583 |
| LM | $0.20 \%$ | 1,166 |
| Effective Clip | $99.65 \%$ | 580,880 |
| Totals: | $100.00 \%$ | 582,923 |

1 No clip = both maxillary bones completely intact
Incomplete $\mathrm{RM}=225 \%$ and $\leq 100 \%$ of the right maxillary bone intact
LM $=$ Left maxillary bone removed, right intact
Effective clip $=100 \%$ of right maxillary bone removed
2/ Percent of the total 2,000 coho check from each stratum
Release estimates based on TRH estimates of total released; deducts hatchery mortality estimates from the total tagged.

Prepared by: Mark Zuspan, California Department of Fish and Game, September 17, 1997

## TASK 4: Salmon Spawner Surveys in the Upper Trinity River Basin

## Task Obiectives:

To determine, through a system of spawning ground surveys, the distribution, size, sex composition, incidence of marked/tagged individuals, and pre-spawning mortality of naturally spawning chinook and coho salmon in the main stem Trinity River.

## Procedures:

Our study area was the main stem Trinity River from the upstream limit of anadromous fish migration at Lewiston Dam (river km 180.1) to the confluence of North Fork Trinity River (river km 116.7). This area was surveyed once a week by personnel in rafts throughout the salmon spawning season.

The survey area was divided into seven zones based on access and historic spawner use (Table 1). These zones were the same as used by the Department during spawner surveys since 1988.

Table 1. Description and lengths of river zones used in the 1996 main stem Trinity River spawner survey.

| River <br> zone | Length <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3.2 | Lewiston Dam - Old Lewiston Bridge |
| 22 | 7.9 | Old Lewiston Bridge - Browns Mtn. Bridge |
| 3 | 10.2 | Brown Mtn. Bridge - Steel Bridge |
| 4 | 10.4 | Steel Bridge - Douglas City Camp_ |
| 5 | 11.3 | Douglas City Camp - Junction City Weir |
| 6 | 13.2 | Junction City Weir - McCartney Pond |
| 7 | 7.2 | McCartney Pond - Mouth of the North Fork Trinity River |

During the survey all dead fish (carcasses) encountered were examined to determine species, sex, spawning condition-of the females, presence of fin clips, presence of spaghetti tags, and condition. We measured, to the nearest cm fork length (FL), 30 chinook from each survey zone each week.

We flagged all chinook carcasses which we felt had died no more than one week prior to the survey as evidenced by at least one clear eye and a relatively firm body. Flags consisted of a piece of $11 / 2$ by $11 / 2$ inch colored plastic strip attached to a hog ring which was affixed to the fish's mandible. Recovery of flagged fish in subsequent weeks provided an estimate of the survey's efficiency which was used to estimate the total number of fish dying in each survey zone.

We chose a date to separate spring from fall chinook based on the presence of spring and fall coded-wire tagged (CWT) chinook. The date that the number of fall CWTed chinook exceeded spring CWTed chinook in the survey was the separation date.
Chinook recovered prior to that date were considered spring chinook and those recovered that date and after were considered fall chinook.

Tributaries to the main stem Trinity River were not surveyed this year. Prior years studies have shown that the tributaries are relatively unimportant in terms of chinook spawning in the Trinity River basin. The relative importance of tributaries to coho spawning has not been determined.

## Results

We processed 5,439 chinook and 403 coho salmon carcasses during the survey. Chinook spawner density, in spawners per km of river, ranged from 1,070 in zone 1 to 240 in zone 4 with an overall average of 410 fish per km for the entire survey area (Table 2). We estimated a total of 26,032 adult chinook died in the survey zone this season (Table 2).

Table 2. Adult chinook salmon spawner distribution and estimated density by river zone during the 1996-97 Trinity River spawner survey.

| Zone | Number <br> carcasses <br> flagged | Flags <br> recovered | $\%$ <br> recovery | Total <br> observed | Expanded <br> total | $\%$ <br> distribution | Spawner <br> density <br> fish/km) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 273 | 132 | $48.4 \%$ | 1,655 | 3,423 | $13.1 \%$ | 1,070 |
| 2 | 255 | 104 | $40.8 \%$ | 1,295 | 3.115 | $12.2 \%$ | 402 |
| 3 | 238 | 57 | $23.9 \%$ | 968 | 4,042 | $15.5 \%$ | 396 |
| 1 | 109 | 20 | $18.3 \%$ | 457 | 2,491 | $9.6 \%$ | 240 |
| 5 | 138 | 10 | $7.2 \%$ | 380 | 5,244 | $20.1 \%$ | 464 |
| 6 | 78 | 4 | $5.1 \%$ | 290 | 5,655 | $21.7 \%$ | 428 |
| 7 | 51 | 4 | $7.8 \%$ | 157 | 2,002 | 7.7 | 278 |
| Total | 1,142 | 331 | $29.0 \%$ | 5,202 | 26,032 | $100 \%$ | 411 |

We recovered a total of 130 adipose-fin clipped chinook during the main stem survey this season. Coded-wire tags were extracted from 95 of these and represented 26 different code groups from three brood years (BY) (Table 3). Based on timing of CWTed fish recovered in the survey, we assume that only spring chinook were recovered through $11 / 03$ after which only fall chinook were recovered.

Table 3. Release and recovery data for coded-wire tagged chinook salmon recovered in the 1996-97 Trinity River spawner survey.

| CWT a/ | Type b/ | Brood year | Location c/ | Number <br> effectively <br> tagged d/ | Number <br> recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0601040106 | S-f | 1992 | TRH | 215,038 | 6 |
| 0601080403 | Wild | 1992 | Sky Ranch | 7,781 | 2 |
| 0601080404 | Wild | 1992 | Sky Ranch | 7,495 | 1 |
| 0601080405 | Wild | 1992 | Sky Ranch | 6,568 | 1 |
| 0601080407 | Wild | 1992 | Sky Ranch | 7,993 | 1 |
| 065733 | F-f | 1992 | TRH | 192,032 | 8 |
| 065734 | S-Y | 1992 | TRH | 53,575 | 8 |
| 065735 | S-Y | 1992 | TRH | 56,281 | 4 |
| 065748 | F-Y | 1992 | TRH | 54,586 | 6 |
| 065749 | F-Y | 1992 | TRH | 54,308 | 6 |
| 601040107 | S-f | 1993 | TRH | 22,056 | 8 |
| 0601080213 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 9,177 | 2 |
| 0601080214 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 7,125 | 1 |
| 0601080215 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 9,998 | 2 |
| 0601080311 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 11,443 | 12 |
| 0601080312 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 10,856 | 5 |
| 0601080313 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 11,699 | 2 |
| 0601080502 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 11,837 | 6 |
| 0601080503 | Wild | 1993 | Sheridan | 10,115 | 5 |
| 065704 | F-f | 1993 | TRH | 201,032 | 2 |
| 065705 | F-Y | 1993 | TRH | 55,039 | 2 |
| 065706 | F-Y | 1993 | TRH | 55,297 | 2 |
| 065708 | S-Y | 1993 | TRH | 53,738 | 1 |
| 065709 | S-Y | 1993 | TRH | 57,787 | 53,905 |


| CWT a/ | Type b/ | Brood year | Location c/ | Number <br> effectively <br> tagged d/ | Number <br> recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 065222 | F-Y | 1994 | TRH | 113,124 | 1 |
| 100000 e/ |  |  |  |  | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 130 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a/ Coded-wire tag number assigned to that group of fish. <br> b/ S = spring, F = fall, y = yearling, f= fingerling, Wild = Naturally produced <br> c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery; release locations for wild fish (Chapter 2 in past <br> Annual Reports). <br> d/ Number effectively tagged = (Total number tagged) - (tagging mortalities + <br> estimated shed tags + estimated poor fin-clipped fish). <br> e Adipose fin-clipped recovered fish. CWTs were either unreadable, shed, or lost <br> while decoding. |  |  |  |  |  |

Spring chinook females comprised $62.4 \%$ of the adults while fall chinook females comprised $65.3 \%$ of the total. Females accounted for $63.7 \%$ of the of the total (spring plus fall) adult recovery in the survey.

We observed a female pre-spawning mortality rate of $3.3 \%$ for spring chinook and $7.8 \%$ for fall chinook. The overall (spring and fall chinook) pre-spawning mortality rate for female adults was $5.4 \%$. For comparison, female pre-spawning mortality rates in the Trinity River have ranged from $1.1 \%$ (1991) to $44.9 \%$ (1988) during prior surveys conducted sporadically since 1955 . As noted by the Department in the past, prespawning mortality in the Trinity River is closely tied to escapement: as escapement increases so does pre-spawning mortality.

The survey crews observed 403 adult coho salmon this season. Based on the efficiency rates developed from chinook flag recovery, we estimated 1,115 adult coho died in the main stem survey area this season (Table 4). Spawner density was highest in zone 1 (141 fish per km ) and lowest in zone 7 where no coho were observed. The overall average was 17.6 fish per km for the entire survey area (Table 4).

Table 4. Adult coho salmon spawner distribution and estimated density by river zone during the 1996-97 Trinity River spawner survey.

| Zone | Total <br> observed | Observation <br> efficiency (\%) | Expanded <br> total | $\%$ <br> distribution | Spawner density <br> (fish/km) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 219 | $18.4 \%$ | 452 | $10.6 \%$ | 141.4 |
| 2 | 146 | $40.8 \%$ | 358 | $32.1 \%$ | 45.3 |
| 3 | 17 | $23.9 \%$ | 71 | $6.4 \%$ | 7.0 |
| 4 | 7 | $18.3 \%$ | 38 | $3.4 \%$ | 3.7 |
| 5 | 7 | $7.2 \%$ | 97 | $8.7 \%$ | 8.6 |
| 6 | 5 | $5.1 \%$ | 98 | $8.8 \%$ | 7.4 |
| 7 | 0 | $7.8 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Total: <br> Mean: | 401 |  | 1,115 | $100 \%$ |  |

Prepared by: Mark Zuspan, California Department of Fish and Game, September 17, 1997

TASK 5: Capture and Coded-wire Tagging of Naturally Produced Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River Basin

## Task Objectives:

To capture, mark (adipose fin clip), tag (binary-coded wire) and release representative groups (up to 200,000 fish/group) of naturally produced chinook salmon fry/fingerlings in the main stem Trinity River for use in subsequent determination of their survival and contributions as adults to the ocean and river fisheries and spawning escapements.

## Procedures:

Task 5 is composed of three distinct phases: trapping; tagging; and recovery. 'The trapping and tagging phases take place stream side in the spring following juvenile salmon emergence. The recovery phase take place from two-to-five years after emergence and involves the efforts of several agencies including the Department of Fish and Game (Department), Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries Department (HVTFD), Yurok Tribal Fisheries Department (YTFD), and the Pacific Fisheries Management Council. This report will deal with the tagging and trapping phases of the Task. The recovery phase of the Task will be covered in a future report.

Trapping was conducted in the main stem Trinity River using from one to eight fyke nets measuring 3.1 m wide by 1.2 m high at the mouth, by 7.6 m long, tapering to a $0.33-\mathrm{m}$ by $0.33-\mathrm{m}$ exit leading into dual live boxes. Fyke nets were attached, at their mouth, to a $2.5-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter galvanized pipe frame of the same dimensions as the net mouth, which was connected by ropes to metal posts driven into the streambed. The nets were normally set at mid-afternoon and recovered at mid-morning the next day, when all captured fish were placed in holding cages placed in the river.

Tagging was conducted inside a $5.5-\mathrm{m}$ long converted office trailer placed adjacent to the trapping site. A $3.5-\mathrm{KW}$ generator was used to supply the electrical needs of the operation (tagging machines, pumps and lights).

Prior to tagging, juvenile chinook were anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate, their adipose fin removed (ad-clip), and a one-half length coded-wire tag (CWT) implanted in each fish's rostrum. Normally, between two-and three tagging machines were used, depending on availability of fish.

A sample of 100 fish from each CWT group for each day's tagging was held for a quality control check ( QC ), and the remainder were released back into the river downstream of the tagging site. Fish in the QC sample were held in live boxes in the river and, after a
minimum of 24 hours, checked for mortality, tag retention, and ad-clip quality. Tag retention was determined by passing fish though an electronic tag detector, and ad-clips were checked by direct examination. Each tagging day, we determined a mortality, tag shed and poor ad-clip rate based on our QC sample. The number of effectively tagged fish from each day's tagging effort was determined by subtracting, from the daily total, the estimated mortality, tag shedding and poor ad-clips as determined from our QC sample.

At least once a week we measured, to the nearest mm fork length (FL), a sample of 100 chinook. We kept track of the number of fish trapped each day by placing each trapping day's fish in a separate holding cage. These fish were then counted automatically during the tagging process.

## Results

Our trapping efforts began on February 18 and concluded on May 13, 1997. Total effort during this period was 431 trap nights (one net fished for one night) and total catch was 49,234 chinook, 499 coho and 5,621 steelhead.

Results from trapping indicate an extremely poor production of naturally produced chinook in the upper Trinity River basin this year. The overall catch-per-unit effort (CPUE ${ }^{1 /}$ ), during the period of historical high emigration (March 5 through May 27) was the lowest we have encountered since our operations began in 1989. Chinook CPUE during this period has ranged from 2,343 in 1990 to a mere 77 this year. The previous low in chinook CPUE was 157 in 1992.

This poor production is almost certainly the result of high flows from Lewiston Dam during the incubating/emergence phase of the 1996 brood year. Based on the results of Tasks 1 and 4, we are confident that there were enough spawners in 1996 to produce high numbers of juvenile chinook to emigrate in 1997. The low CPUE reflects the very poor survival of the progeny of these spawners. It is unknown what caused the poor survival but three likely agents are: 1) substrate movement which could have buried the redds, 2) sedimentation which could have suffocated the eggs, and/or 3) newly emerging fry may have been swept away in the high velocities.

We began coded-wire tagging operations on February 27 and finished on May 14. Project personnel coded-wire tagged 40,771 chinook, consisting of two groups this

1/ Catch-per-unit-effort is defined as the average number of fish caught per trap per night fished. For example: if we fished eight nets for one night and caught 800 fish, the CPUE would be 100 fish.
season. After subtracting for tagging mortality, tag shedding and poor fin clips, we effectively tagged a total of 38,263 naturally produced chinook (Table 1). Prior year's effective tagging totals are; 15,704 (1988-89), 112,133 (1989-90), 72,865 (1990-9 1), 56,610 (1991-92), 44,565 (1992-93), 92,486 (1993-94) and 123,610 (1995-96)

Coho CPUE ranged from zero to three with a season average of one fish per trap night. Steelhead CPUE ranged from two to twenty fish averaging thirteen fish per trap night.

Chinook trapped throughout the season ranged in fork length (FL) from 29 to 112 mm averaging 39.5 mm . The overall average FL of CWTed fish was 48.6 mm .

Table 1. Summary of coded-wire tagging of naturally produced chinook in the Trinity River basin, 1996-97 season.

| Coded-wire tag <br> number | Inclusive tagging <br> dates | Number effectively <br> tagged | Average fork <br> length (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0601080208 | Feb27-Mar14 | 20,688 | । |
| 0601080209 | Mar17-May14 | । | 17,575 |
| Season Total | । | । | 38,263 |

Prepared by: Mark Zuspan, California Department of Fish and Game, September 17, 1997

TASK 6: Life History, Distribution, Run Size and Angler Harvest for South Fork Trinity River Basin Anadromous Salmonid Populations

## Task Objectives:

1. To determine the timing, size, composition, distribution, and angler harvest of adult fall chinook and coho salmon runs in the South Fork Trinity River (SFTR) basin.
2. To determine through mark-and-recovery and direct observation methods, the timing, size, composition, and distribution of adult spring chinook and spring (summer) steelhead runs in the SFTR basin.
3. To determine juvenile Salmonid emigration timing patterns, and assess their rearing areas and resident times in the SFTR basin.
4. To describe age compositions and life-history patterns of adult and juvenile salmonids through scale pattern analysis.

## Introduction

Work on Task 6 began at the end of May 1996, when approval to begin work was received.

## Procedure:

Weirs: The Gates Weir was installed at river km (RK) 31.7 when flow permits during late spring and early summer to capture spring-run chinook salmon and summer-run steelhead immigrants for examination and tagging. The weir was operated five nights per week. All salmon and steelhead captured were examinedto determine species, sex and fork length. Fish in good condition were given an anchor tag and secondary fin clip (half left ventral fin clip for tagged fish and half right ventral fin clip for untagged fish) then released to continue migration.

The Sandy Bar Weir was installed at RK 2.1 in late summer and fall to capture immigrating salmon and steelhead for examination and tagging. This weir was operated seven nights per week. All chinook, and coho salmon and steelhead were examined to determine species, sex, condition and fork length. Fish in good condition were given a tag (spaghetti for salmon and anchor for steelhead) and a secondary fin clip (half left ventral fin clip for tagged fish and half right ventral fin clip for untagged fish), then released to continue migration.

Run-size estimates were based on an adjusted Peterson formula where the size of the population is calculated from the number of fish marked at the weirs, number of fish examined during carcass surveys and number of marked fish recovered.

Carcass Recovery and Redd Survey: The SFTR was surveyed from the air four times to determine the onset of spawning, distribution of spawning activity and which sections ground crews would cover. Ground crews walked sections to locate redds and carcasses. Redds were counted and their locations mapped. Carcasses were examined for species, sex condition and tags. Scales were taken when the condition of the fish permitted. Pectoral fins were collected as per request from Yurok Tribal Fisheries Department for DNA analysis.

Snorkel Survey: The relative abundance and distribution of spring-run adult chinook in the SFTR is based on a snorkel survey conducted in late August. Project personnel cover a total of 144.1 RK in the SFTR basin (lower 15.6 RK of Hayfork Creek, lower 6.5 RK of E.F. of the SFTR and from the mouth of SFTR to about 1.4 RK upstream of the confluence with the E.F. of SFTR).

Juvenile Out-migrant trapping: Juvenile out-migrant traps were deployed at four locations (Forest Glen, Curved Bridge near Hyampom, Sandy Bar and at the mouth of Hayfork Creek) from June 1996 through December 1996 and trapped at a twice-a-week frequency when flow conditions permit. Beginning January 1997, effort increased to thirteen locations (4 in SFTR, 6 in Hayfork Ck. basin and 3 in other tributaries)
(Table 1); sampled when flow conditions permitted and was conducted weekly at all stations.

We collected scale samples from all juvenile salmon and steelhead while out-migrant trapping and from adult fish in good condition at both Gates and Sandy Bar weirs and from fish in good condition during carcass surveys.

## Results:

Weirs: The Gates Weir was installed June 19, 1996 and removed July 8, 1996 and operated five days a week. A total of 32 spring-run chinook (4 grilse and 28 adults) were captured of which 21 were effectively tagged. Spring-run chinook averaged 60.1 cm FL and ranged in length from 51 to 80 cm FL. Two summer-run steelhead 46 and 58 cm FL were captured. The weir was removed when morning water temperatures exceeded 15 deg. C. Peak catch occurred during the week ending (WE) July 1, 1996.

The Sandy Bar Weir was installed September 18, 1996 and removed on November 16, 1996. A total of 658 fall-run ( 13 grilse and 645 adult) chinook salmon, 6 adult coho salmon and 95 steelhead ( 5 half-pounders and 90 adults) were captured for the season.

Peak catch was in WE October 28, 1996. Chinook salmon ranged in size from 40 cm to 93 cm , FL. Length frequency analysis indicates grilse were fish <49 cm FL, and made up $2 \%$ of total fish observed at the weir. Six ad-marked chinook salmon were recovered: all six were from brood year 1992; two (fall-run) from Horse Linto Creek, 1 (fall-run) from Junction City and 3 (2 fall-run and 1 spring-run) from Trinity River Hatchery.

A total of 107 scale samples collected were at Sandy Bar Weir; of these $14 \%$ were age 2, $59 \%$ were age 3 and $27 \%$ were age 4 fish.

Angler harvest rate was very low; $0.0016 \%$ for chinook salmon and $0.0016 \%$ for steelhead.

Carcass Recovery and Redd Survey: Carcass surveys began on September 24, 1996 and finished on December 4, 1997. A total of 380( 109 spring-run and 271 fall-run) chinook salmon, 8 coho salmon and 1 steelhead carcasses were recovered. A total of 1,261 redds were mapped.

Peak spawning for spring-run chinook occurred during WE October 14, 1996. Redds were concentrated above Hyampom valley between RK 102.7 and 57.9.

Peak spawning for fall-run chinook salmon occurred during WE November 4, 1996. These redds were generally concentrated below Hyampom valley, RK 52 to the mouth.

No adipose fin clipped fish were recovered during carcass surveys. Only one carcass was recovered at Gates weir and no tags were recovered.

Preliminary run-size estimate for fall-run adult chinook salmon in the South Fork Trinity River was 1,835 fish.

Snorkel Survey: Crews covered a total of 144.1 RK and counted 1,097 spring-run chinook salmon. The majority of fish were seen above RK 49.6, above Hyampom valley.

Juvenile Out-migrant Trapping: Juvenile chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead were captured in out-migrant traps fished between July 1996 and December 1996 (Table 1). Juvenile chinook were captured at all sites except at Curved Bridge. Juvenile coho salmon were only captured at Sandy Bar. Juvenile steelhead were caught at all four locations trapped in the SFTR. Peak steelhead catches occurred during WE July 8, 1996 at Hayfork Creek, during WE July 15, 1996 at both Curved Bridge and Forest Glen, and during WE August 12, 1996 at Sandy Bar. Out-migrant trapping ceased in early December 1996 due to high flows.

Out-migrant trapping began march 1997 at thirteen stations (Table 1). Juvenile chinook
salmon were captured at four stations, three located in the SFTR (Sandy Bar, Curved Bridge, and Forest Glen), and at the mouth of Hayfork Creek. Juvenile steelhead were captured at all stations. Three juvenile coho salmon were captured at Sandy Bar. Peak catches of juvenile chinook salmon in SFTR (Sandy Bar, Curved Bridge and Forest Glen) occurred during WE May 20, 1997, and during WE April 1, 1997 at Hayfork Creek. Juvenile steelhead peak numbers varied from location to location.

Table 1. Total number of juvenile salmon and steelhead caught in out-migrant traps throughout the South Fork Trinity River (SFTR) basin, July 1996 through June 1997.

|  |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | RK | Time span | Chinook | Coho | Steelhead |
| South Fork Trinity River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sandy Bar | 2.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 96-12 / 96 \\ & 3 / 97-6 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ |
| Curved Bridge | 49.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 96-12 / 96 \\ & 3 / 97-6 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ 1,330 \end{array}$ |
| Forest Glen | 89.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 96-12 / 96 \\ & 3 / 97-6 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 803 \\ & 711 \end{aligned}$ |
| Above EF of SFTR | 117.8 | 3/97-6/97 | 0 | 0 | 501 |
| Hayfork Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hayfork Creek | 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 96-12 / 96 \\ & 3 / 97-6 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,603 \\ & 2,231 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9-mile Bridge | 18.6 | 3/97-6/97 | 0 | 0 | 2,702 |
| near Big Creek | 32.6 | 3/97-6/97 | 0 | 0 | 3,054 |
| Wildwood | 54.0 | 3/97-6/97 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| near Dubakella Creek | 58.4 | 3/97-6/97 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

Other Tributaries'

| East Fork of SFTR | 0.1 | $3 / 97-6 / 97$ | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pelletreau Creek | 0.3 | $3 / 97-6 / 97$ | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Eltapom Creek | 0.1 | $3 / 97-6 / 97$ | 0 | 0 | 363 |

Life history patterns: Scales collected during field activities are currently being analyzed and results will be reported in a future report.
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